#### A New Objective Intelligibility Measure For Time-Frequency Weighted Noisy Speech

Cees Taal<sup>1</sup>, Richard Hendriks<sup>1</sup>, Richard Heusdens<sup>1</sup>, Jesper Jensen<sup>2</sup> 7-1-2010

<sup>1</sup> Delft University of Technology, Signal Information & Processing Lab, Delft, the Netherlands.

<sup>2</sup> Oticon A/S, Smørum, Copenhagen, Denmark.



#### Introduction Background





#### Introduction Motivation

• In this research, the focus is on time-frequency (TF) weighted noisy speech

• e.g., single-channel noise reduction, speech separation etc.

#### • Why?

- Most conventional objective measures are not reliable for this type of processing
- Such a reliable measure is desired in the field of noise-reduction

We propose a new objective measure which,

- •... shows high correlation with intelligibility of noisy and TF-weighted noisy speech
- •... is simple (very few parameters)
- •... based on short-time segments (~400 ms)



# Method

- First, TF-decomposition is applied to clean and processed speech
  - 15, 1/3 octave bands, by merging short-time (~25 ms) DFT-bins
  - Bands cover a relevant frequency range for speech intelligibility (~150-4500 Hz)
- Notation:
  - Band index: j, time index: m
  - Clean speech TF-unit:  $X_i(m)$ , processed speech TF-unit:  $Y_i(m)$





# Method

#### Intermediate Intelligibility Measure

- Model depends on intermediate intelligibility measure: d<sub>i</sub>(m)
  - $d_i(m)$  depends on short segments (~400 ms) of  $X_i(n)$  and  $Y_i(n)$ , per band
  - Where  $n \in \{m N + 1, m N + 2, ..., m\}$  and N=30
- Before comparison, Yj(n) is first modified as follows:
  - Normalization: Compensate for local energy differences
  - Clipping: To make sure speech is inside range relevant for intelligibility



#### Normalization

## Method

**T**UDelft

 Y<sub>j</sub>(n) is normalized such that its energy equals the energy of X<sub>j</sub>(n):

$$\alpha Y_{j}(n) = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n} X_{j}(n)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\sum_{n} Y_{j}(n)^{2}}} Y_{j}(n)$$





#### Clipping

## Method

• aY<sub>i</sub>(n) is clipped to lower-bound the signal to distortion ratio to -15 dB which gives  $Y'_{i}(n)$ 

$$SDR(A,B) = 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{A^2}{(B-A)^2}\right)$$



#### Comparison

# Method

**T**UDelft

 d<sub>j</sub>(m) equals correlation coefficient between clean and processed speech short-time segments

$$d_{j}(m) = \frac{\sum_{n} (X_{j}(n) - \mu_{X}) (Y'_{j}(n) - \mu_{Y'})}{\sqrt{\sum_{n} (X_{j}(n) - \mu_{X})^{2} \sum_{n} (Y'_{j}(n) - \mu_{Y'})^{2}}}$$





#### Method Eventual outcome



Eventual outcome is defined as the average over all intermediate intelligibility measures:

$$d = \frac{1}{JM} \sum_{m,j} d_j(m)$$



# Subjective Data

- Subjective data origins from Kjems et al. (2009)
  - Speech is degraded with additive noise
  - Noisy speech is processed with a technique called 'Ideal Time Frequency Segregation' (ITFS), Brungart *et al.* (2006)
- In total 167 different conditions are evaluated
  - 3 SNRs
  - 4 noise types
  - Various settings of ITFS-algorithm



## Experiment

- Proposed method is compared with three reference objective measures:
  - DAU: Dau auditory model (Dau et. al, 1996)
  - NSEC: (Boldt & Ellis, 2009)
  - CSTI: Normalized covariance based STI (Goldsworthy & Greenberg, 2006)
- All these measures are promising candidates for TF-weighted noisy speech



# Results

• Figure of merits:

• RMSE (σ)

Correlation Coefficient (ρ)

	PROPOSED		CSTI			
		)				
_	80		80			
ive(%)	60	ive(%)	60			
ubjecti	40		40			
ഗ	20	S	20			
	0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 Objective		0 0.2 0.4 0.6			
Objective			Objective			
	DAU		NSEC			
	DAU 100	)	NSEC			
	DAU 100 80		NSEC 100 80			
tive(%)	DAU 100 80 60	tive(%)	NSEC 100 80 60			
subjective(%)	DAU 100 80 60 40	subjective(%)	NSEC 100 80 60 40			
Subjective(%)	DAU 100 80 60 40 20	Subjective(%)	NSEC 100 80 60 40 20			
Subjective(%)	DAU 100 80 60 40 20	Subjective(%)	NSEC 100 80 60 40 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
Subjective(%)	DAU 100 60 40 20 0.2 0.4 0.6	Subjective(%)	NSEC 100 80 60 40 20 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8			

	PROP	CSTI	DAU	NSEC
σ	10.2%	21.8%	16.4%	17.1%
ρ	0.95	0.73	0.86	0.84

# Results

- Reference objective measures underestimate intelligibility of noisy unprocessed speech
- Proposed method good results with both noisy and TF-weighted noisy speech



- Noisy unprocessed speech
- TF-weighted noisy speech

# Conclusions

- A new objective intelligibility measure was presented, based on an intermediate measure for short time-frequency regions (~400 ms)
- The proposed method:
  - ...showed high correlation with TF-weighted noisy speech
  - ...showed better performance then three other reference objective measures
  - ... does not underestimate the intelligibility of the unprocessed noisy speech, which was the case for the three reference objective measures
- Matlab code available: http://www.ceestaal.nl/stoi.zip



### Experimental results





### Subjective Data Ideal Time-Frequency Segregation

- Binary time-frequency weighting is applied to noisy speech (Ideal Binary Mask, IBM)
- Mask set to `1' when local SNR within TF-unit exceeds user-defined local criterion (LC):

$$IBM(f,t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{\text{clean}(f,t)}{\text{noise}(f,t)} > LC\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$





#### Subjective Data Ideal Time-Frequency Segregation

• In total 167 different conditions are evaluated:

- Speech shaped noise, café noise, car interior noise, noise from bottling factory hall
- 8 different LC-values

**ŤU**Delft

• 3 SNRs: 20% SRT, 50% SRT, -60 dB

